UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IMANI ROBINSON, on behalf of herself and al	1
others similarly situated,	

Civil Case Number: _____

Plaintiff(s),

CIVIL ACTION

-against-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

AUDIT SYSTEMS INCORPORATED and JOHN DOES 1-25,

Defendant(s).

Plaintiff, IMANI ROBINSON, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated (hereinafter "Plaintiff") by and through her undersigned attorney, alleges against the abovenamed Defendants, AUDIT SYSTEMS INCORPORATED ("AUDIT SYSTEMS"), JOHN DOES 1-25 ("Defendants") their employees, agents, and successors (collectively "Defendants") the following:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff brings this action for damages and declaratory relief arising from the Defendants' violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This is an action for violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) because the acts of the Defendant that give rise to this action, occurred in substantial part, in this district.

DEFINITIONS

4. As used in reference to the FDCPA, the terms "creditor," "consumer," "debt," and "debt collector" are defined in § 803 of the FDCPA and 15 U.S.C. § 1692a.

PARTIES

- 5. The FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, which prohibits certain debt collection practices provides for the initiation of court proceedings to enjoin violations of the FDCPA and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case.
- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person, a resident of Camden County, New Jersey, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. AUDIT SYSTEMS maintains a location at 3696 Ulmerton Road, Suite 200, Clearwater, Florida 33762.
- 8. Upon information and belief, AUDIT SYSTEMS uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 9. AUDIT SYSTEMS is a "Debt Collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).
- 10. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and business alleged for the purpose of substituting names of defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

11. Plaintiff brings this action as a state wide class action, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP"), on behalf of herself and all New Jersey consumers and their successors in interest (the "Class"), who were sent debt collection letters

and/or notices from the Defendants, which are in violation of the FDCPA, as described in this Complaint.

- 12. This Action is properly maintained as a class action. The Class is initially defined as:
 - All New Jersey consumers who were sent letters and/or notices from AUDIT
 SYSTEMS concerning a debt owed to PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL
 SUPPLIERS, which included the alleged conduct and practices described
 herein.
 - The class definition may be subsequently modified or refined.
 - The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.
- 13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
 - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there may be hundreds and/or thousands of persons who were sent debt collection letters and/or notices from the Defendant that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice. (*See Exhibit A*, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 redacted the financial account numbers and/or personal identifiers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);
 - There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which
 predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These
 common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:

- a. Whether the Defendants violated various provisions of the FDCPA including but not limited to:
 - 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692g et seq., and 1692e et seq.
- b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by the Defendants' conduct;
- c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
- d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would

engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendants' conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy, it will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.

Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class,
 thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding
 declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 14. Plaintiff is at all times to this lawsuit, a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 15. At some time prior to May 10, 2017, Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation to PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS.
- 16. The PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS obligation arose out of a transaction, in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 17. The PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS obligation did not arise out of a transaction that was for non-personal use.
- 18. The PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS obligation did not arise out of a transaction that was for business use.

- 19. The PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 20. PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).
- 21. On or before May 10, 2017, the PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS obligation was sent to AUDIT SYSTEMS for the purpose of collection.
- 22. At the time the PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS obligation was sent to AUDIT SYSTEMS the PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS obligation was past due.
- 23. At the time the PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS obligation was sent to AUDIT SYSTEMS the PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS obligation was in default pursuant to the terms of the agreement creating the obligation and/or by operation of law.
- 24. Defendants caused to be delivered to Plaintiff a letter dated May 10, 2017, which was addressed to Plaintiff. A copy of said letter is annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**, which is fully incorporated herein by reference.
- 25. The May 10, 2017 letter was sent to Plaintiff in connection with the collection of the PERSONAL SUPPORT MEDICAL SUPPLIERS obligation.
- 26. The May 10, 2017 letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 27. The May 10, 2017 letter is the initial written communication sent from Defendant to the Plaintiff.
 - 28. Upon receipt, Plaintiff read the May 10, 2017 letter.

29. The May 10, 2017 letter contained the Defendant's contact information at the top of the letter:

AUDIT SYSTEMS INCORPORATED

3696 Ulmerton Rd. Suite 200 Clearwater, FL 33762 **Toll Free Phone: (800) 741-1969**

- 30. Defendant's toll free telephone number (800-741-1969) appears at least two times in the May 10, 2017 letter.
 - 31. The May 10, 2017 letter stated in part:

To pay online please visit our website at www.auditsystemsinc.net. Click on the Payments tab and then click on "Pay Now". Your Client Account Number to reference is 24275609.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion of it, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification.

32. The May 10, 2017 letter also stated in part:

If the account is outstanding due to a billing error or other problem, please feel free to contact one of our service representatives with questions at 1-800-741-1969 during business hours of Monday through Thursday 8:00am – 9:00pm EST, and Friday 8:00am – 7:00pm EST. [emphasis added].

33. Section 1692g(a)(3)of the FDCPA requires to debt collector to:

Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt... send the consumer a written notice containing ---

(3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector.

- 34. A dispute of a debt, to be effective, in the Third Circuit, must be in writing. <u>Graziano v. Harrison</u>, 950 F.2d 107, 112 (3d Cir. 1991). <u>Caprio v. Healthcare Revenue</u> <u>Recovery Group</u>, 709 F.3d 142 (3d Cir. March 1, 2013).
- 35. AUDIT SYSTEMS knew or should have known that its actions violated the FDCPA.
- 36. Defendants could have taken the steps necessary to bring their actions within compliance with the FDCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review its actions to ensure compliance with the law.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES COMPLAINED OF

- 37. It is Defendants' policy and practice to send written collection communications, in the form annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**, which violate the FDCPA, by *inter alia*:
 - (a) Using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt; and
 - (b) Failing to provide the consumer with a proper notice pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a)(3);
- 38. On information and belief, Defendants have sent written communications in the form annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**, to at least 50 natural persons in the state of New Jersey with one year of this Complaint.

COUNT I

FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. VIOLATIONS

39. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, repeats and realleges all prior allegations as if set forth at length herein.

- 40. Collection letters and/or notices, such as those sent by Defendants, are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."
- 41. The May 10, 2017 letter fails to properly inform the least sophisticated consumer that to effectively dispute the alleged debt, such dispute *must* be in writing.
- 42. The least sophisticated consumer upon reading the May 10, 2017 letter would be confused as to what he or she must do to effectively dispute the alleged debt.
- 43. The least sophisticated consumer wishing to dispute the alleged debt would be confused as to what steps he or she should take to notify Defendants of his or her dispute.
- 44. The least sophisticated consumer upon reading the instructions in the May 10, 2017 letter would be misled into believing that if he or she wished to effectively dispute the alleged debt or any portion thereof, he or she may: (1) notify AUDIT SYSTEMS by calling the toll free telephone number provided of (800) 741-1969, between during the business hours Monday through Thursday 8:00 am 9:00 pm EST, or on Friday between 8:00am 7:00pm EST; or (2) write to AUDIT SYSTEMS at the address listed on the letter.
- 45. AUDIT SYSTEMS' instructions in the May 10, 2017 letter would cause least sophisticated consumer to be unsure as to what he or she must do to effectively dispute the alleged debt.
- 46. A dispute of a debt, to be effective, in the Third Circuit, must be in writing. <u>Graziano v. Harrison</u>, 950 F.2d 107, 112 (3d Cir. 1991). <u>Caprio v. Healthcare Revenue</u> <u>Recovery Group</u>, 709 F.3d 142 (3d Cir. March 1, 2013).
- 47. AUDIT SYSTEMS violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a)(3) by failing to effectively inform Plaintiff in the May 10, 2017 letter, what he or she must do in order to dispute the alleged debt.

- 48. AUDIT SYSTEMS violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10) by falsely representing and misleading Plaintiff into believing that if he wished to dispute the alleged debt or any portion thereof, that he may (1) notify AUDIT SYSTEMS by calling the toll free telephone number provided of (800) 741-1969, between during the business hours Monday through Thursday 8:00 am 9:00 pm EST, or on Friday between 8:00am 7:00pm EST; or (2) write to AUDIT SYSTEMS at the address listed on the letter.
- 49. The May 10, 2017 letter is misleading because the instructions can be read to have two or more meaning, which one is inaccurate.
- 50. The May 10, 2017 letter can be read to mean that the least sophisticated consumer may dispute the alleged debt by calling AUDIT SYSTEMS at the toll free telephone number provided of (800) 741-1969, between during the business hours Monday through Thursday 8:00 am 9:00 pm EST, or on Friday between 8:00am 7:00pm EST.
- 51. Congress enacted the FDCPA in part to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors.
- 52. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have a right to free from abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors.
- 53. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have a right to receive proper notices mandated by the FDCPA.
- 54. Plaintiff and others similarly situated were sent letters, which would have affected their decision-making with regard to the debt.
- 55. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have suffered harm as a direct result of the abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices described herein.

56. Plaintiff has suffered damages and other harm as a direct result of AUDIT

SYSTEMS actions, conduct, omissions and violations of the FDCPA described herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

(a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and

certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and the attorney(s), Joseph K. Jones, Esq., as Class

Counsel;

(b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;

(c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;

(d) Awarding pre-judgment interest;

(e) Awarding post-judgment interest.

(f) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys'

fees and expenses; and

(g) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as the Court

may deem just and proper.

Dated: November 15, 2017

s/ Joseph K. Jones

Joseph K. Jones, Esq.
JONES, WOLF & KAPASI, LLC
375 Passaic Avenue
Fairfield, New Jersey 07004
(973) 227-5900 telephone
(973) 244-0019 facsimile
jkj@legaljones.com

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

s/ Joseph K. Jones
Joseph K. Jones, Esq.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 11.2

I, Joseph K. Jones, the undersigned attorney of record for Plaintiff, do hereby certify to my own knowledge and based upon information available to me at my office, the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action now pending in any court or in any arbitration or administrative proceeding.

Dated: November 15, 2017

/s/ Joseph K. Jones
Joseph K. Jones, Esq.

Exhibit

A



3696 Ulmerton Rd. • Suite 200 • Clearwater, FL 33762 Toll Free Phone: (800) 741-1969 North Carolina Department of Insurance Permit Number 100606

nte: May 10, 2017

TOTAL BALANCE DUE: \$472.15

IMANI ROBINSON,

The below referenced account(s) have been sent to our office for collection.

CREDITOR NAME

ACCOUNT #

SERVICE

BALANCE

Personal Support Medical Suppliers

ROBIIMAN

DATE DUE 06/26/15 \$ 472.15

To pay online please visit our website at www.auditsystemsinc.net. Click on the Payments tab and then click on "Pay Now". Your Client Account Number to reference is

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion of it, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

This is a communication from a debt collector attempting to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for this purpose.

If the account is outstanding due to a billing error or other problem, please feel free to contact one of our service representatives with questions at 1-800-741-1969 during business hours of Monday through Thursday 8:00am - 9:00pm EST, and Friday 8:00am - 7:00pm EST. If this account is due to loss, theft or forgery, you may mail an affidavit of forgery to our office.

4000NAUDT01230